

## Redistricting Advisory Committee

June 2, 2011

Staff Presentation: Draft Redistricting Plans Prepared at Committee Direction

## Draft Redistricting Plans Prepared at Committee Direction of May 16, 2011

- 06/02/11 RAC Draft Plan 1-1
- 06/02/11 RAC Draft Plan 2-1
- 06/02/11 RAC Draft Plan 3-1
- 06/02/11 RAC Draft Plan 4-1
- 06/02/11 RAC Draft Plan 5-1

### **Draft Redistricting Plans**

- Materials for each plan available in hard copy and online:
  - Population and demographic data
  - Report of districts by tract
  - Map
- Redistricting Plans posted on the County's online Redistricting Plan Viewer
- www.sdcounty.ca.gov/redistricting

### 06/02/11 RAC Draft Plan 1-1

| Committee Member Skorepa<br>Comments/Directions to Staff  | Staff Action(s)  |
|---|--|
| Defers to Committee Member Ridz's comments regarding the communities of Mission Bay, Ocean Beach, and Pacific Beach, to be moved to District 3                      | Incorporated Ridz's direction to split District 4 and District 3 as depicted in 05/16/11 RAC Draft Plan 1 in District 1 (including moving tracts 68.01, 75.01, 75.02, 76, 77.01, and 77.02 into District 3, and moving tracts 65, 72, 73.01, 73.02, and 74 into District 4). Tract 68.02 added to District 4 to avoid creating a non-contiguous island of a portion of District 4. |
| Defers Committee Member Ridz's comments regarding the area south of the City of Escondido to the community of Rancho Bernardo to be moved to District 2             | Included in plan as directed by Ridz (including placement of tracts 170.30 and 170.32 in District 3, as directed)  |
| Replicate the boundary between District 1 and District 4 in the Downtown San Diego area as depicted in 05/16/11 RAC Draft Plan 4, as related to San Diego City Hall | Included in plan as directed (splits tract 53)   |

### 06/02/11 RAC Draft Plan 1-1 U.S. Census 2010 Total Population

| District | Population | Deviation* | % Deviation* |
|----------|------------|------------|--------------|
| 1        | 605,280    | -13,783    | -2.23%       |
| 2        | 621,158    | 2,095      | 0.34%        |
| 3        | 627,066    | 8,003      | 1.29%        |
| 4        | 619,224    | 161        | 0.03%        |
| 5        | 622,585    | 3,522      | 0.57%        |

<sup>\*</sup> Based on an ideal supervisorial district population of 619,063

#### 06/02/11 RAC Draft Plan 2-1

| Committee Member Weeks Comments/Directions to Staff  | Staff Action(s)   |
|--|---|
| Provided a list of Census blocks and tracts to move  | Included in plan as listed and as depicted in the accompanying map submitted by Ms. Weeks |
| Move the Navajo Community Plan area, including the portions currently in District 2, into District 4, specifically tracts 96.02, 96.04, 97.03, 97.05, and 97.06  | Included in plan to the extent possible, as listed by Census tracts                       |
| Expand District 2 in the north to include the communities of Rancho Bernardo, Carmel Mountain, Sabre Springs and Miramar Ranch North. This would move the existing District 2 boundary west to align with the I-15 | Included in plan as listed by Census tracts   |
| The Miramar Air Station should remain in District 3  | Left unchanged from 05/16/11 RAC Draft Plan 2   |

### 06/02/11 RAC Draft Plan 2-1 U.S. Census 2010 Total Population

| District | Population | Deviation* | % Deviation* |
|----------|------------|------------|--------------|
| 1        | 654,849    | 35,786     | 5.78%        |
| 2        | 620,020    | 957        | 0.15%        |
| 3        | 556,052    | -63,011    | -10.18%      |
| 4        | 613,632    | -5,431     | -0.88%       |
| 5        | 650,760    | 31,697     | 5.12%        |

<sup>\*</sup> Based on an ideal supervisorial district population of 619,063

### 06/02/11 RAC Draft Plan 3-1

| Committee Member Ridz<br>Comments/Directions to Staff   | Staff Action(s)   |
|---|---|
| Provided a list of Census tracts to move, including a number of split Census tracts that should be unified  | Included in plan as listed, to the extent possible, keeping Census tracts whole (splits the cities of Escondido, San Marcos, Oceanside and Vista between Districts 3 and 5) |
| Keep the community of Tierrasanta, including tracts 95.02, 95.05, 95.06, 95.07 and 95.10 in District 4, as depicted in 05/16/11 RAC Draft Plan 3. | Included in plan  |
| The community of University City should be moved into District 3.   | Included in plan as listed by Census tracts   |
| The City of Carlsbad should remain in District 3.   | Included in plan as listed by Census tracts   |
| The City of Escondido should remain out of District 3.  | Included in plan as listed by Census tracts   |

### 06/02/11 RAC Draft Plan 3-1 cont.

| Committee Member Ridz<br>Comments/Directions to Staff  | Staff Action(s)  |
|--|--|
| The portion of the City of San Marcos south of SR-78 should move from District 5 into District 3, including tracts 200.18, 200.19, 200.21, 200.26, 200.27, 200.29 and 203.06, to share a common border with the City of Carlsbad | Included in plan   |
| Tracts 200.21 and 200.28 can be placed in District 3 or District 5 based on staff's analysis of the population numbers   | Tracts 200.21 and 200.28 in District 5 because District 5 population is under the ideal population in this plan                      |
| Move the San Carlos area, tracts 97.04, 98.01, 98.02, 98.04, and 98.05, from District 3 to District 4.   | Included in plan   |
| In the Rancho Bernardo community area, move tracts 170.14, 170.15, 170.19, 170.31, 170.33, 170.34, 170.35, 170.51, 170.52, 170.55, 170.56 from District 3 into District 2.   | Included in plan. A portion of tract 170.06 added to District 2 to avoid creating a noncontiguous island of a portion of District 3. |
| Keep tracts 170.30 and 170.32 in District 3.   | Included in plan   |

#### 06/02/11 RAC Draft Plan 3-1 cont.

| Committee Member Ridz<br>Comments/Directions to Staff  | Staff Action(s)  |
|--|--|
| Split District 4 and District 3 as depicted in 05/16/11 RAC Draft Plan 1 in District 1. This includes moving tracts 68.01, 75.01, 75.02, 76, 77.01, and 77.02 out of District 1 into District 3, and moving tracts 65, 72, 73.01, 73.02, and 74 into District 4. | Included in plan. Tract 68.02 added to District 4 to avoid creating a non-contiguous island of a portion of District 4 |
| Keep the community of La Jolla in District 3.  | Included in plan   |

### 06/02/11 RAC Draft Plan 3-1 U.S. Census 2010 Total Population

| District | Population | Deviation* | % Deviation* |
|----------|------------|------------|--------------|
| 1        | 606,499    | -12,564    | -2.03%       |
| 2        | 620,904    | 1,841      | 0.30%        |
| 3        | 634,646    | 15,583     | 2.52%        |
| 4        | 620,092    | 1,029      | 0.17%        |
| 5        | 613,172    | -5,891     | -0.95%       |

<sup>\*</sup> Based on an ideal supervisorial district population of 619,063

#### 06/02/11 RAC Draft Plan 4-1

| Committee Chairman Anderson Comments/Directions to Staff   | Staff Action(s)  |
|--|------------------|
| Move the community of Tierrasanta, including tracts 95.02, 95.05, 95.06, 95.07, 95.09, 95.10, 95.11, 96.04, 97.03, 97.04, 98.05 from District 4 as depicted in 05/16/11 RAC Draft Plan 4 into District 3 | Included in plan |
| Keep the entire Navajo Community Plan area in District 3, including tracts 96.02, 96.04, 97.03, 97.05, 97.06, 98.01, 98.02, and 98.04  | Included in plan |
| Move tracts 73.01, 75.01 and 75.02 in the community of Ocean Beach into District 4   | Included in plan |
| Move the community of University City, described as the Census tracts bounded by the I-5, I-805 and SR-52 into District 3  | Included in plan |

#### 06/02/11 RAC Draft Plan 4-1 cont.

| Committee Chairman Anderson Comments/Directions to Staff  | Staff Action(s)                    |
|---|------------------------------------|
| Keep the communities of South Mission, Crown Point, and Pacific Beach north to La Jolla in District 4 as depicted in 05/16/11 RAC Draft Plan 4                    | Included in plan                   |
| Agrees with Committee Member Skorepa regarding the boundary between District 1 and District 4 in Downtown San Diego and placing San Diego City Hall in District 4 | Included in plan (splits tract 53) |
| Keep the community of La Jolla in District 4 as depicted in 05/16/11 RAC Draft Plan 4.  | Included in plan                   |

### 06/02/11 RAC Draft Plan 4-1 U.S. Census 2010 Total Population

| District | Population | Deviation* | % Deviation* |
|----------|------------|------------|--------------|
| 1        | 628,256    | 9,193      | 1.49%        |
| 2        | 620,020    | 957        | 0.15%        |
| 3        | 550,744    | -68,319    | -11.04%      |
| 4        | 645,533    | 26,470     | 4.28%        |
| 5        | 650,760    | 31,697     | 5.12%        |

<sup>\*</sup> Based on an ideal supervisorial district population of 619,063

### 06/02/11 RAC Draft Plan 5-1

| Committee Member Day Comments/Directions to Staff  | Staff Action(s)                                       |
|--|---|
| Set the boundary line between District 1 and District 4 at the San Diego River, as suggested by Chairman Anderson. Include tract 75.01 and surrounding tracts in District 1. Tract 76 should be the southern boundary of District 4. | Included in plan                                      |
| Replicate the boundary of District 4 in the La Jolla area as depicted in 05/16/11 RAC Draft Plan 4. Tracts 82, 83.03, 83.05 to remain in District 4.   | Included in plan                                      |
| Maintain the boundary of the Tierrasanta and San Carlos areas as depicted in 05/16/11 RAC Draft Plan 5.  | Included in plan                                      |
| May adjust the boundary line in the Tierrasanta/San Carlos area based on demographic numbers.  | No adjustments made based on specific direction above |
| Align the boundary between District 2 and District 3 with the City of Poway boundary, not incorporating Rancho Bernardo.   | Included in plan                                      |

### 06/02/11 RAC Draft Plan 5-1 cont.

| Committee Member Day Comments/Directions to Staff  | Staff Action(s)  |
|--|--|
| Split tract 207.09 at the boundary of the City of San Diego to set the northern boundary of District 2 at the City of San Diego boundary   | Included in plan. Tract 207.10 also split to follow City of San Diego boundary |
| Split tract 170.30 between Districts 3 and 5 from the northwest corner of tract 170.32 running north to Lake Hodges to keep the community of 4S Ranch in the same district as the community of Rancho Bernardo | Tract 170.30 split as directed along Census block lines                        |
| Include the southern portion of tract 170.30 that lies within the City of San Diego in District 3.   | Included in plan (splits tract 170.30)   |
| Replicate the boundary between District 1 and District 4 in the San Diego Downtown area as depicted in 05/16/11 RAC Draft Plan 4, as related to San Diego City Hall.   | Included in plan (splits tract 53)   |

### 06/02/11 RAC Draft Plan 5-1 cont.

| Committee Member Day Comments/Directions to Staff   | Staff Action(s)   |
|---|---|
| southeast of the City of Escondido in tract 207.05, can move into District 2 or District 3 based on staff's analysis of the demographic data. | Included in District 3 because District 3 population is under the ideal population in this plan. Unincorporated area of tract 206.02 added to D3 to avoid creating a non-contiguous island of a portion of District 2 |
| This bistrict of this would split tracts 200.0 Failed   | Included in plan. Splits the City of Escondido between District 3 and District 5. More specific direction needed at the Census block level to address this split  |

### 06/02/11 RAC Draft Plan 5-1 U.S. Census 2010 Total Population

| District | Population | Deviation* | % Deviation* |
|----------|------------|------------|--------------|
| 1        | 640,471    | 21,408     | 3.46%        |
| 2        | 632,859    | 13,796     | 2.23%        |
| 3        | 519,611    | -99,452    | -16.06%      |
| 4        | 682,349    | 63,286     | 10.22%       |
| 5        | 620,023    | 960        | 0.16%        |

<sup>\*</sup> Based on an ideal supervisorial district population of 619,063

# 06/02/11 RAC Draft Plan 1-1 06/02/11 RAC Draft Plan 2-1 06/02/11 RAC Draft Plan 4-1

- Small island of District 5 in tract 204.01
- Created by aligning 2001 districts with 2010
   Census geography
- Further direction needed to maintain contiguity in this area